UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,054	11/03/2003	Louis A. Lippincott	ITL.1709US (P17678)	5501
21906 TROP, PRUNE	7590 10/27/201 R & HU, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1616 S. VOSS I	ROAD, SUITE 750	THOMAS, ERIC M		
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/701,054	LIPPINCOTT, LOUIS A. Art Unit
ERIC M. THOMAS	3714

This is in response to the Pre-Appea	I Brief Request for Review filed	10 August 2011.
1. Improper Request – The reason(s):	Request is improper and a confe	erence will not be held for the following
The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with clude reasons why a review is ap is included with the Pre-Appeal	propriate.
The time period for filing a respo the mail date of the last Office co		ceipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from peal has been received.
held. The application remains ur is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mon- running from the receipt of the n	nder appeal because there is at I prief in accordance with 37 CFR th from mailing this decision, or totice of appeal, whichever is gre 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the marker is gre marker in the marker is gre and the marker is gre marker in the marker in the marker is gre in the marker	– A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been east one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal he balance of the two-month time period ater. Further, the time period for filing of the nail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determing Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from co		as follows:
		e rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of sed. No further action is required by
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A action will be mailed. No further		rejection is withdrawn and a new Office It this time.
All participants:		
(1) ERIC M. THOMAS.	(3) <i>Xuan</i>	<u>Thai</u> .
(2) <u>David Lewis</u> .	(4)	<u>-</u>
/E. M. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714	/DAVID L LEWIS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Unit 3714	/XUAN M. THAI/ Art Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3715